



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/033,245	12/27/2001	David Botstein	P2930R1C7	9703
7.	590 07/30/2004		EXAM	INER
MARK T. KRESNAK			FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN	
I DNA WAY GENENTECH	INC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MS 49, SOUTH SAN FRAN CISCO, CA 94080			1637	
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•
7
0

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/033,245	BOTSTEIN ET AL.	BOTSTEIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Jeffrey Fredman	1637			

Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any								
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 22-34 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-34</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to: 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>various</u> . 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Priority is granted to provisional 60/113,145 which is the first provisional teaching the protein sequence in the claims, filed December 16, 1998. The earlier filed provisional, 60/095,325 does not provide support for the current claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 22-27, 29, 30, 31, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In analysis of the claims for compliance with the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, the written description guidelines note regarding genus/species situations that "Satisfactory disclosure of a ``representative number" depends on whether one of skill in the art would recognize that the applicant was in possession of the necessary common attributes or features of the elements possessed by the members of the genus in view of the species disclosed." (See: Federal Register: December 21, 1999 (Volume 64, Number 244), revised guidelines for written description.)

Art Unit: 1637

All of the current claims encompass a genus of proteins which are different from the sequence of SEQ ID NO: 24 which is disclosed in the specification. The genus includes variants for which no written description is provided in the specification. This large genus is represented in the specification by only SEQ ID NO: 24. Thus, applicant has express possession of only one particular protein sequence in a genus, where even 95% homologous language will comprise hundreds of millions of different possibilities. Here, no common element or attributes of the sequences are disclosed, not even the presence of certain domains.

Not only are there no structural limitations or requirements which provide guidance on the identification of proteins related to SEQ ID NO: 24, but there are no functional limitations in the claim either. Thus, these claims fail on both prongs of the written description analysis since there is no function for the broad structures to define.

One further problem with claim 27 is the limitation to the "extracellular domain" and "signal peptide". The specification was reviewed and absolutely no evidence was found that discloses the presence of either a "signal peptide" or an "extracellular domain" for SEQ ID NO: 24. The specification is entirely silent with regard to the presence of these elements in SEQ ID NO: 24. Consequently, there is no description of what constitutes a "signal peptide" in the protein of SEQ ID NO: 24, nor is there any description of an "extracellular domain" in SEQ ID NO: 24.

Further, these claims encompass alternately spliced versions of the proteins, allelic variants including insertions and mutations, inactive precursor proteins which have a removable amino terminal end, and only specific amino acid sequences have

Application/Control Number: 10/033,245

Art Unit: 1637

been provided. No written description of alleles, of upstream or downstream regions containing additional sequence, or of alternative splice variants has been provided in the specification.

It is noted in the recently decided case <u>The Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co. 43 USPQ2d 1398 (Fed. Cir. 1997)</u> decision by the CAFC that

"A definition by function, as we have previously indicated, does not suffice to define the genus because it is only an indication of what the gene does, rather than what it is. See Fiers, 984 F.2d at 1169- 71, 25 USPQ2d at 1605- 06 (discussing Amgen). It is only a definition of a useful result rather than a definition of what achieves that result. Many such genes may achieve that result. The description requirement of the patent statute requires a description of an invention, not an indication of a result that one might achieve if one made that invention. See In re Wilder, 736 F.2d 1516, 1521, 222 USPQ 369, 372- 73 (Fed. Cir. 1984) (affirming rejection because the specification does "little more than outlin[e] goals appellants hope the claimed invention achieves and the problems the invention will hopefully ameliorate."). Accordingly, naming a type of material generally known to exist, in the absence of knowledge as to what that material consists of, is not a description of that material. "

In the current situation, the definition of the protein by percent homology lacks any specific required structure. This is precisely the situation of naming a type of material which is generally known to likely exist, but, except for the specific SEQ ID NO: 24, is in the absence of knowledge of the material composition and fails to provide descriptive support for the generic claim to ".

It is noted that in <u>Fiers v. Sugano</u> (25 USPQ2d, 1601), the Fed. Cir. concluded that

"...if inventor is unable to envision detailed chemical structure of DNA sequence coding for specific protein, as well as method of obtaining it,

Art Unit: 1637

then conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, that is, until after gene has been isolated...conception of any chemical substance, requires definition of that substance other than by its functional utility."

The current situation is a definition of the compound solely but its functional utility, as a deletion, without any definition of the particular deletions claimed.

In the instant application, certain specific SEQ ID NOs are described. Also, in Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar (19 USPQ2d 1111, CAFC 1991), it was concluded that:

"...applicant must also convey, with reasonable clarity to those skilled in art, that applicant, as of filing date sought, was in possession of invention, with invention being, for purposes of "written description" inquiry, whatever is presently claimed."

In the application at the time of filing, there is no record or description which would demonstrate conception of any proteins other than SEQ ID NO: 24. Therefore, the claims fail to meet the written description requirement by encompassing proteins which are not described in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 1637

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 22-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Oguri et al (WO 98/26053).

Oguri teaches a protein which has 100% identity to SEQ ID NO: 24 as shown by the alignment below:

Query:	1	MRLRNGTFLTLLLFCLCAFLSLSWYAALSGQKGDVVDVYQREFLALRDRLHAAEQESLKR MRLRNGTFLTLLLFCLCAFLSLSWYAALSGQKGDVVDVYQREFLALRDRLHAAEQESLKR	60
Sbjct:	1	MRLRNGTFLTLLLFCLCAFLSLSWYAALSGQKGDVVDVYQREFLALRDRLHAAEQESLKR	60
Query:	61	SKELNLVLDEIKRAVSERQALRDGDGNRTWGRLTEDPRLKPWNGSHRHVLHLPTVFHHLP SKELNLVLDEIKRAVSERQALRDGDGNRTWGRLTEDPRLKPWNGSHRHVLHLPTVFHHLP	120
Sbjct:	61	~	120
Query:	121	HLLAKESSLQPAVRVGQGRTGVSVVMGIPSVRREVHSYLTDTLHSLISELSPQEKEDSVI HLLAKESSLOPAVRVGOGRTGVSVVMGIPSVRREVHSYLTDTLHSLISELSPOEKEDSVI	180
Sbjct:	121	HLLAKESSLQPAVRVGQGRTGVSVVMGIPSVRREVHSYLTDTLHSLISELSPQEKEDSVI	180
Query:	181	VVLIAETDSQYTSAVTENIKALFPTEIHSGLLEVISPSPHFYPDFSRLRESFGDPKERVR VVLIAETDSQYTSAVTENIKALFPTEIHSGLLEVISPSPHFYPDESRLRESFGDPKERVR	240
Sbjct:	181	VVLIAETDSQYTSAVTENIKALFPTEIHSGLLEVISPSPHFYPDFSRLRESFGDPKERVR	240
Query:	241	WRTKQNLDYCFLMMYAQSKGIYYVQLEDDIVAKPNYLSTMKNFALQQPSEDWMILEFSQL WRTKQNLDYCFLMMYAQSKGIYYVQLEDDIVAKPNYLSTMKNFALQQPSEDWMILEFSQL	300
Sbjct:	241	WRTKQNLDYCFLMMYAQSKGIYYVQLEDDIVAKPNYLSTMKNFALQQPSEDWMILEFSQL	300
Query:	301	GFIGKMFKSLDLSLIVEFILMFYRDKPIDWLLDHILWVKVCNPEKDAKHCDRQKANLRIR GFIGKMFKSLDLSLIVEFILMFYRDKPIDWLLDHILWVKVCNPEKDAKHCDROKANLRIR	360
Sbjct:	301	GFIGKMFKSLDLSLIVEFILMFYRDKPIDWLLDHILWVKVCNPEKDAKHCDRQKANLRIR	360
Query:	361	FKPSLFQHVGTHSSLAGKIQKLKDKDFGKQALRKEHVNPPAEVSTSLKTYQHFTLEKAYL FKPSLFOHVGTHSSLAGKIQKLKDKDFGKQALRKEHVNPPAEVSTSLKTYOHFTLEKAYL	420
Sbjct:	361	FKPSLFQHVGTHSSLAGKIQKLKDKDFGKQALRKEHVNPPAEVSTSLKTYQHFTLEKAYL	420
Query:	421	${\tt REDFFWAFTPAAGDFIRFRFFQPLRLERFFFRSGNIEHPEDKLFNTSVEVLPFDNPQSDK} \\ {\tt REDFFWAFTPAAGDFIRFRFTAAGDFIRFTAAGDFIRFRFTAAGD$	480

Art Unit: 1637

Sbjct: 421 REDFFWAFTPAAGDFIRFRFFQPLRLERFFFRSGNIEHPEDKLFNTSVEVLPFDNPQSDK 480

Query: 481 EALQEGRTATLRYPRSPDGYLQIGSFYKGVAEGEVDPAFGPLEALRLSIQTDSPVWVILS 540

EALQEGRTATLRYPRSPDGYLQIGSFYKGVAEGEVDPAFGPLEALRLSIQTDSPVWVILS

Sbjct: 481 EALQEGRTATLRYPRSPDGYLQIGSFYKGVAEGEVDPAFGPLEALRLSIQTDSPVWVILS 540

Query: 541 EIFLKKAD 548

EIFLKKAD

Sbjct: 541 EIFLKKAD 548

This protein meets the limitations of claims 22-28, 30 and 32.

With regard to claims 29 and 31, Oguri demonstrates a truncated protein lacking the N-terminal signal sequence (see figure 18, page 16 of figures).

With regard to claims 33 and 34, Oguri teaches fusing the protein to the signal sequence of human erythropoietin, which can function inherently as an epitope tag (see page 35, example 9).

6. Claims 22-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Oguri et al (U.S. Patent 6,338,955).

Oguri teaches a protein which has 100% identity to SEQ ID NO: 24 as shown by the alignment below:

Query: 1 MRLRNGTFLTLLLFCLCAFLSLSWYAALSGQKGDVVDVYQREFLALRDRLHAAEQESLKR 60

MRLRNGTFLTLLLFCLCAFLSLSWYAALSGQKGDVVDVYQREFLALRDRLHAAEQESLKR

 ${\tt Sbjct: 1} \qquad {\tt MRLRNGTFLTLLLFCLCAFLSLSWYAALSGQKGDVVDVYQREFLALRDRLHAAEQESLKR~60}$

Query: 61 SKELNLVLDEIKRAVSERQALRDGDGNRTWGRLTEDPRLKPWNGSHRHVLHLPTVFHHLP 120

SKELNLVLDEIKRAVSERQALRDGDGNRTWGRLTEDPRLKPWNGSHRHVLHLPTVFHHLP
Sbjct: 61 SKELNLVLDEIKRAVSERQALRDGDGNRTWGRLTEDPRLKPWNGSHRHVLHLPTVFHHLP 120

·

Query: 121 HLLAKESSLQPAVRVGQGRTGVSVVMGIPSVRREVHSYLTDTLHSLISELSPQEKEDSVI 180 HLLAKESSLOPAVRVGQGRTGVSVVMGIPSVRREVHSYLTDTLHSLISELSPQEKEDSVI

Sbjct: 121 HLLAKESSLQPAVRVGQGRTGVSVVMGIPSVRREVHSYLTDTLHSLISELSPQEKEDSVI 180

Query: 181 VVLIAETDSQYTSAVTENIKALFPTEIHSGLLEVISPSPHFYPDFSRLRESFGDPKERVR 240

VVLIAETDSQYTSAVTENIKALFPTEIHSGLLEVISPSPHFYPDFSRLRESFGDPKERVR

Sbjct: 181 VVLIAETDSQYTSAVTENIKALFPTEIHSGLLEVISPSPHFYPDFSRLRESFGDPKERVR 240

Query: 241 WRTKQNLDYCFLMMYAQSKGIYYVQLEDDIVAKPNYLSTMKNFALQQPSEDWMILEFSQL 300

WRTKQNLDYCFLMMYAQSKGIYYVQLEDDIVAKPNYLSTMKNFALQQPSEDWMILEFSQL

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/033,245

Art Unit: 1637

Sbjct: 241 WRTKQNLDYCFLMMYAQSKGIYYVQLEDDIVAKPNYLSTMKNFALQQPSEDWMILEFSQL 300 Query: 301 GFIGKMFKSLDLSLIVEFILMFYRDKPIDWLLDHILWVKVCNPEKDAKHCDRQKANLRIR 360 GFIGKMFKSLDLSLIVEFILMFYRDKPIDWLLDHILWVKVCNPEKDAKHCDRQKANLRIR Sbjct: 301 GFIGKMFKSLDLSLIVEFILMFYRDKPIDWLLDHILWVKVCNPEKDAKHCDRQKANLRIR 360 Ouery: 361 FKPSLFOHVGTHSSLAGKIQKLKDKDFGKQALRKEHVNPPAEVSTSLKTYQHFTLEKAYL 420 FKPSLFQHVGTHSSLAGKIQKLKDKDFGKQALRKEHVNPPAEVSTSLKTYQHFTLEKAYL Sbjct: 361 FKPSLFOHVGTHSSLAGKIQKLKDKDFGKQALRKEHVNPPAEVSTSLKTYQHFTLEKAYL 420 Query: 421 REDFFWAFTPAAGDFIRFRFFQPLRLERFFFRSGNIEHPEDKLFNTSVEVLPFDNPQSDK 480 REDFFWAFTPAAGDFIRFRFFOPLRLERFFFRSGNIEHPEDKLFNTSVEVLPFDNPQSDK Sbjct: 421 REDFFWAFTPAAGDFIRFRFFQPLRLERFFFRSGNIEHPEDKLFNTSVEVLPFDNPQSDK 480 Query: 481 EALQEGRTATLRYPRSPDGYLQIGSFYKGVAEGEVDPAFGPLEALRLSIQTDSPVWVILS 540 EALQEGRTATLRYPRSPDGYLQIGSFYKGVAEGEVDPAFGPLEALRLSIQTDSPVWVILS Sbjct: 481 EALQEGRTATLRYPRSPDGYLQIGSFYKGVAEGEVDPAFGPLEALRLSIQTDSPVWVILS 540 Ouery: 541 EIFLKKAD 548 EIFLKKAD Sbjct: 541 EIFLKKAD 548

This protein meets the limitations of claims 22-28, 30 and 32.

With regard to claims 29 and 31, Oguri demonstrates a truncated protein lacking the N-terminal signal sequence (see figure 18, page 16 of figures).

With regard to claims 33 and 34, Oguri teaches fusing the acetylglucosaminyltransferase protein to the signal sequence of human erythropoietin, which can function inherently as an epitope tag (see example 9).

As a side note, in order to antedate this patent, in which claim 4 claims the same protein, Applicant must file a statement under 37 CFR 1.608(b) and demonstrate enablement at the time of "invention".

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yoshida et al (Glycoconjugate Journal (1998) 15:1115-1123).

Art Unit: 1637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman whose telephone number is (571)272-0742. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571)272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit\1637